


## Gaslighting Ivermectin, vaccines and the pandemic for profit

By Justus R. Hope, MD

Aug 25, 2021



Toasting Success

### Toasting Success

In 1994, the CEOs of the seven largest American tobacco companies testified before Congress and stated under oath that nicotine was not addictive.

Today, we know they lied to us because it was in their financial interests. They conducted a classic gaslight. Gaslighting is a form of deceit used by habitual or pathological liars. They will often lie to your face and never back down even when confronted with proof of their deception.

The Congressional hearings were held by Chairman Henry Waxman in 1994 on television in full public view. Tobacco executives were called upon to answer publicly for the poisoning and killing of millions of Americans with cigarettes.

<https://www.tobaccofreekids.org/press-releases>

[/henry\\_waxman\\_showed\\_america\\_the\\_true\\_face\\_of\\_the\\_tobacco\\_industry](#)

When Representative Ron Wyden questioned James Johnston, the Chairman, and CEO of R.J. Reynolds, in 1994, he got the answer that flew in the face of known science and reality:

"Cigarettes and nicotine clearly do not meet the classic definitions of addiction..."



However, the world would see through this gaslight. R.J. Reynolds would be one of the tobacco companies called upon to pay damages in a \$206 billion Tobacco Master Settlement Agreement.

Nonetheless, despite this, R.J. Reynolds has continued to profit and only grow larger. It purchased Lorillard Tobacco for \$27 billion in 2014 and paid \$49 billion to merge with British America Tobacco in 2017.

Americans know that cigarettes cause cancer, heart disease, stroke, emphysema, and COPD. In addition, they cause seven out of every ten cases of lung cancer. And cigarette smoking is highly addictive.

But currently, we are witnessing an even greater gaslight than from the cigarette executives. We see in 2021 gaslighting about vaccines, Ivermectin, and public health. We are lied to daily by corporate interests who seek to protect a much greater industry than tobacco.

Unlike tobacco, which was plastered all over the television with Joe Camel and the Marlboro Man, today we are bombarded with video clips of overflowing hospitals and dying patients. Rather than tobacco's message of glamor, we relentlessly hear Big Pharma's marketing message of doom. First, this was rehearsed with Ebola, later with Zika, and now Big Pharma has perfected it with COVID-19.

The public may be aware that the Pharmaceutical companies profit handsomely from new drugs and that there is a very cozy and cooperative relationship between FDA, NIH, and Big Pharma. Still, almost no one realizes they are gaslit daily with a coordinated marketing campaign.

[https://www.thedesertreview.com/news/local/debating-ivermectin-hydroxychloroquine-and-colchicine/article\\_01fbb380-d917-11eb-91c2-73cdf82fa113.html](https://www.thedesertreview.com/news/local/debating-ivermectin-hydroxychloroquine-and-colchicine/article_01fbb380-d917-11eb-91c2-73cdf82fa113.html)

Most Americans think that morality and ethics would suspend the profit motive just this once for the sake of saving the world from COVID-19. But, unfortunately, many continue to believe the vaccines are "free" and being offered as a public service out of the goodness of Pfizer's and Moderna's

hearts, with Dr. Fauci being the fatherly voice of wisdom helping steer us through this deadly but unplanned pandemic.

"He only wants the very best that science can offer the human race regardless of any profit motive. In short, Dr. Fauci is like us, a man with a family and loved ones; he only wants a swift end to this pandemic with whatever treatment works the best and quickest."

Nothing could be further from the truth.

What most do not understand is how Dr. Fauci can and does profit directly from the vaccines. The Bayh-Dole Act - a law passed in 1980 - allows scientists involved in developing a drug to SHARE IN THE ROYALTIES on sales of the drug.

This fact was publicly disclosed in 2005 when Dr. Fauci was involved in an Interleukin trial gone wrong. Patients were getting sick and dying of toxicity and complaining that Dr. Fauci had failed to disclose he had a financial interest in the drug. Dr. Fauci, along with an NIH physician, Joseph Kovacs, was a co-developer of Interleukin-2. Under Bayh-Dole, this was one of the many patents from which Dr. Fauci could derive drug royalties.

The test subjects might have felt differently about their decision to enroll in the trial had Dr. Fauci informed them he would benefit with a portion of the \$8.9 million in royalties.

<https://www.cbsnews.com/news/profit-motive-hidden-from-patients/>

Today, it is unknown exactly how many patents in which Dr. Fauci has a direct or indirect financial interest. But, according to David E. Martin, PhD, a Batten Fellow at the University of Virginia and an expert on Intellectual Property rights (patents), the answer could be as high as 3,500.

Dr. Martin has testified before Congress. He founded M-CAM, the largest underwriter of Intellectual Property globally, and he is as credible an expert as you will find.

<https://www.m-cam.com/about-us/>

As you review the 100+ patents created on the coronavirus, you are struck by the timeline. So many of these patents were obtained years, even decades before the onset of COVID-19. You cannot help but realize, as I did, that this was all manufactured, and we have all been deceived. This pandemic is all about Big Pharma and greed. We are victims of gaslighting by the best in the

business.

They make the tobacco CEOs look like amateurs.

The most unbelievable portions of Dr. Martin's deposition testimony have to do with the timing. Multiple patents on both coronavirus and its treatment were filed years before anyone should have known about the disease – before it even officially existed.

While the SARS outbreak occurred in Asia beginning in November 2002, Dr. Martin discovered a patent for the causative virus, SARS, filed seven months earlier. Therefore, it should not have pre-existed the outbreak UNLESS there was foul play.

US Patent number 7279327 was filed in conjunction with the NIAID (Dr. Fauci) and Dr. Ralph Baric (The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill) on April 19, 2002.

This pre-existing patented pathogen was described as an infectious coronavirus that afflicted human lung tissue and involved a Spike protein and an ACE-2 receptor. Dr. Martin considers this conclusive evidence that "we made SARS," and it did not arise out of nature from zoonotic transfer as we have been led to believe.

See *mark 8:35* <https://www.bitchute.com/video/9HxsE5lViby/>

Dr. Martin states, "The NIAID built an infectious, replication-defective coronavirus. It was specifically targeted for human lung epithelium. In other words, we made SARS. And we patented it on April 19, 2002 - before there was ever any alleged outbreak in Asia - which followed that by several months...

That patent clearly lays out in very specific gene sequencing the fact that we knew the ACE receptor, the ACE-2 Binding Domain, the S1 Spike Protein, and other elements of what we have come to know as this scourge pathogen that was not only engineered but could be synthetically modified in the laboratory, using nothing more than gene sequencing technologies, taking computer code, and turning it into a pathogen or an intermediate of the pathogen.

And that technology was funded exclusively in the early days as a means by which you could harness coronavirus as a vector to distribute HIV vaccine."

Please feel free to search the US patent database yourself to confirm what Dr. Martin states is accurate. I did, and it is.

<https://patft.uspto.gov/netahhtml/PTO/srchnum.htm>

It is all too easy to generate a mutant coronavirus using recombinant techniques. The artificially constructed virus is termed a “chimeric.” Simply look at the abstract of this 2008 article, “Manipulation of the coronavirus genome using targeted RNA recombination with interspecies chimeric coronaviruses.”

It explains how to graft a spike protein onto a coronavirus to infect a different species, whether you wish to infect a mouse, a cat, or a human. Baric used HUMAN LUNG TISSUE.

This article represents 2008 technology. So when you read a USA Today or Wikipedia fact check of my writing, please use your common sense. Don't be gaslit. The technology is available, and our agencies had the means, motive and opportunity to use it.

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19057874/>

Search US patent number 7279327, and you will see Dr. Ralph Baric's name. In addition, you will notice that the CDC describes the official timeline of the (Asian) SARS outbreak and lists it as BEGINNING on November 16, 2002, with the first case of atypical pneumonia occurring in Guangdong province in southern China.

<https://www.cdc.gov/about/history/sars/timeline.htm>

The CDC does not mention the Ralph Baric patent of April 19, 2002, funded by the NIAID, giving financial property rights to its funders and inventors. Thus, the world remains unaware of US patent number 7279327 that Dr. Martin discovered, a smoking gun, and evidence for a criminal conspiracy.

Like the perpetrator's DNA being found at the scene of the crime, the existence of a patent on an infectious human coronavirus seven months BEFORE the SARS outbreak is irrefutable evidence.

<https://patft.uspto.gov/netacgi/nph-Parser?Sect1=PTO1&Sect2=HITOFF&d=PALL&p=1&u=%2Fnetahhtml%2FPTO%2Fsrchnum.htm&r=1&f=G&l=50&s1=7279327.PN.&OS=PN/7279327&RS=PN/7279327>

On April 25, 2003, in the middle of the SARS outbreak in Asia, the CDC quietly filed US patent number 7220852 for what was then known as SARS-CoV in the public domain. This patent includes up to 99% of the gene sequence for what is now known as SARS-CoV-2.



pan-coronavirus vaccine. A key driver is the media, and the economics will follow the hype. We need to use that hype to our advantage to get to the real issues. Investors will respond if they see profit at the end of the process."

See *mark 30:00*. <https://www.bitchute.com/video/9HxsE5llViby/>

Peter Daszak of Eco-Health, thus, the marketing mastermind, plays an integral role as a liaison between Dr. Fauci and the false narrative of the COVID-19 Pandemic. For example, one can see a photo showing Daszak and Zhengli-Li Shi toasting wine glasses together in an "Emerging Viruses Group" photo published in the Taiwan News on February 04, 2021. In addition, the article highlighted Daszak as a member of the WHO inspection team charged with "investigating the origins of COVID-19."

<https://www.taiwannews.com.tw/en/news/4119101>

He was also a member of the prestigious Lancet's inspection team and appointed the lead inspector. So I guess it pays to be Anthony Fauci's friend.

The Lancet was coincidentally the same medical journal that published the fraudulent study that "killed" hydroxychloroquine. So it was pretty convenient for eliminating a competing treatment; however, the study provided fake data to imply HCQ was dangerous and was later retracted in shame. Causing the publishing of phony research speaks to the power and reach of Big Pharma and Big Regulators. This paper tarnished both Lancet and lead author Mandeep Mehra, but only after HCQ was dealt a death blow.

<https://www.thehindu.com/sci-tech/science/coronavirus-authors-pull-controversial-lancet-study-flagging-hydroxychloroquine-risks/article31754189.ece>

Peter Daszak personally thanked Dr. Fauci for publicly stating that the origin of COVID-19 was not through a lab leak at the Wuhan Institute and that Dr. Fauci had his back by supporting the story that the outbreak occurred naturally.

On April 18, 2020, Daszak wrote, "I just wanted to say a personal thank you on behalf of our staff and collaborators for publicly standing up and stating that the scientific evidence supports a natural origin for COVID-19 from a bat-to-human spillover, not a lab release from the Wuhan Institute of Virology... From my perspective, your comments are brave, and coming from your trusted voice, will help dispel the myths being spun around the virus' origins."

[https://www.carolinacoastonline.com/national/article\\_6377f4fc-c62f-11eb-9ef7-33b42b072446.html](https://www.carolinacoastonline.com/national/article_6377f4fc-c62f-11eb-9ef7-33b42b072446.html)

On July 20th, 2021, Dr. Fauci bristled when he was questioned under oath by Senator Rand Paul about his funding of Eco-Health. Eco passed the grant money to the Wuhan Institute of Virology, where gain of function research was being conducted with Dr. Ralph Baric.

See *mark 2:55* <https://youtu.be/Pnb2Yxri6eY>

Dr. Ralph Baric's collaboration in gain of function research with China's Zhengli-Li Shi is well documented, and its description of funding from the NIH and its characterization as gain of function is apparent beyond any debate.

When one observes Dr. Fauci deny the obvious, one is struck by his defiance, involuntary gestures, hand shaking, pursed lips, and double finger-pointing. One must be reminded of the description of a person who gaslights.

See *mark 3:00* <https://youtu.be/Pnb2Yxri6eY>

"Gaslighting is a form of deceit used by habitual or pathological liars. They will often lie to your face and never back down even when confronted with proof of their deception."

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4797993/>

This 2015 paper that was published in Nature Medicine in November of 2015 reflects "GOF research" under the Acknowledgement section. It further reflects funding from the NIAID and Eco-Health. It involves a joint effort between Dr. Ralph Baric and the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill and Dr. Zhengli-Li Shi and the Wuhan Institute of Virology.

Furthermore, as if to begin the media-hype process, Dr. Baric got the public used to the idea that SARS might re-emerge. On November 10, 2015, Baric published this paper, "New SARS-like virus can jump directly from bats to humans, no treatment available: Findings provide an opportunity to develop drugs and vaccines for coronaviruses before they emerge from animals to cause a human epidemic."

<https://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2015/11/151110115711.htm>

Baric fails to mention that he and the NIAID own a patent (US patent #7279327) on this "new



\$100 billion annually. Now to the gaslighting.

"When the vaccines were first developed, nobody was thinking that they were going to prevent infection," said Carlos del Rio, a professor at Emory and close colleague of Dr. Anthony Fauci.

Really?

I momentarily considered that my long-held belief that vaccines were supposed to prevent infection might not be accurate when I read that. Then I realized vaccines ARE supposed to prevent infection. That has ALWAYS been their purpose. If people developed mild cases of paralytic polio with breakthrough infections, we would NOT be using the polio vaccine.

Would that be acceptable if the meningitis vaccine allowed people to develop a milder form of meningitis infection, one that caused only mild brain damage? OF COURSE NOT.

Vaccines are supposed to prevent infection. If anyone tries to convince you otherwise, they are probably gaslighting you.

Gaslighting is by definition a form of psychological abuse or mind control; it is the process of causing someone to doubt their feelings, thoughts, or beliefs.

<https://dictionary.cambridge.org/us/dictionary/english/gaslighting>

Gaslighting has previously been familiar to many from the book, *The Sociopath Next Door* as the province of serial killers like Ted Bundy or financial predators like Bernie Madoff, those individuals who lack a conscience and are out to satisfy their selfish desires. These people, like Anthony Fauci, are often engaging, charming, and popular. However, they lack a conscience, which enables them to beat lie detector tests and coldly commit the most unspeakable acts that a moral human being would never consider.

<https://www.amazon.com/Sociopath-Next-Door-Martha-Stout/dp/0767915828>

But what happens when such people are in charge of pharmaceutical corporations, head vast vaccine philanthropic empires, or run the NIAID?

Dr. Martin discusses the dangers that can develop when such individuals join forces and form

interlocking directorates.

<https://f.hubspotusercontent10.net/hubfs/8079569/The%20FauciCOVID-19%20Dossier.pdf>

The gaslighting today on Ivermectin is brighter than the sun. If you stood to make billions and could get the media to do your bidding, and you needed to demonize a safe and effective solution that would put an end to the Pandemic - and your profits, what would you do?

You would call the drug unsafe OR ineffective. And keep people in a constant state of fear, like an abusive sociopath would do to control his victim.



INDIA: Uttarakhand State Distributes Ivermectin to Combat COVID-19 Following Uttar Pradesh, Goa & Karnataka

The problem with calling Ivermectin ineffective is that it is not true, and the recent publications showing India's defeat of COVID-19 using Ivermectin are hard to dismiss. The fact that COVID-19 cases in Uttar Pradesh, with a population of 240 million, are about 30 per day, or one in eight million, is tough to explain away. They use Ivermectin widely and preventatively. Thanks to Ivermectin, you would be more likely to win the one million dollar lottery than come down with COVID in Uttar Pradesh.

Dr. Fred Wagshul is the Director of the Lung Center of America in Dayton, Ohio. He is also a founding member of the FLCCC. He teaches at Wright State University School of Medicine. As a pulmonologist who honors his Hippocratic oath, with no allegiance to Dr. Fauci, Dr. Wagshul cares for COVID-19 patients and those who wish to prevent the disease.

Dr. Wagshul reported on August 18, 2021, that he has treated over 2,000 patients preventatively with 18 mg per week of Ivermectin WITH NOT ONE INFECTION. In addition, Dr. Wagshul has treated many COVID-19 patients via telemedicine. He reports a 100% success rate with none requiring hospitalization and all recovering.

[https://odysee.com/\\$/download/outpatients-defeating-the-delta-variant/a80ce0c78e86eb2e4a17ca07847dfb4104f24d01](https://odysee.com/$/download/outpatients-defeating-the-delta-variant/a80ce0c78e86eb2e4a17ca07847dfb4104f24d01)

<http://www.flccc.net/>

That would square with the Uttar Pradesh experience. It squares with my experience in treating patients, and it is consistent with the published meta-analyses on Ivermectin's use in COVID. For readers not familiar with the extensive scientific evidence supporting this, please review my last article:

[https://www.thedesertreview.com/news/national/indias-ivermectin-blackout-part-ii/article\\_a0b6c378-fc78-11eb-83c0-93166952f425.html](https://www.thedesertreview.com/news/national/indias-ivermectin-blackout-part-ii/article_a0b6c378-fc78-11eb-83c0-93166952f425.html)

Clearly, Dr. Wagshul's and the FLCCC's Ivermectin protocol is better than the policy our public health officials are implementing with no early outpatient treatment and imperfect vaccines. We now have well over 600,000 American deaths and many more thousands each day.

By now, you can begin to see why calling Ivermectin ineffective is not a great gaslighting strategy. That leaves only one remaining option - calling Ivermectin unsafe.

The best gaslights are dramatic and headline news. Just like when the media tried to discredit hydroxychloroquine by mentioning - OVER AND OVER - that an Arizona man had died after ingesting an aquarium cleaner containing industrial-strength Chloroquine.

Never mind that this was not a physician's prescription. It didn't matter that HCQ and an aquarium cleaner have nothing to do with each other.

<https://www.cnn.com/2020/03/23/health/arizona-coronavirus-chloroquine-death/index.html>

This story ran hundreds of times to gaslight everyone into being fearful of hydroxychloroquine, a drug so safe that it had been available in the UK and India for years over the counter.

WebMD, ABC News, CBS News, Reuters, NPR, The Guardian, BBC, Fox News, Statesman, Washington Post, Forbes, Pharmacy Practice News, Daily Mail, Slate, Huffington Post, Yahoo, New York Daily News, National Post, Newsweek, Physicians Weekly, Beckers Hospital Review, Axios, YouTube, and Buzz Feed, all participated in helping deprive you and the American people of HCQ for your COVID. But, unfortunately, that small piece of propaganda, of gaslighting, cost about 300,000 American lives.

Meanwhile, former Harvard professor and NIH researcher Dr. George Fareed and his associate, Dr.

Brian Tyson, saved 6,000 lives using a cocktail that included HCQ.

[https://www.thedesertreview.com/health/local-frontline-doctors-modify-covid-treatment-based-on-results/article\\_9cdded9e-962f-11eb-a59a-f3e1151e98c3.html](https://www.thedesertreview.com/health/local-frontline-doctors-modify-covid-treatment-based-on-results/article_9cdded9e-962f-11eb-a59a-f3e1151e98c3.html)

Dr. Fareed and Dr. Tyson have authored a book, *Doctors story of Light and Life: the COVID-19 Darkness Overcome*, and they have published a preliminary free copy of their manuscript in the Desert Review.

[https://www.thedesertreview.com/opinion/columnists/doctors-story-of-light-and-life-the-covid-19-darkness-overcome-part-i/article\\_5ae16f0c-f614-11eb-8351-cf0d67e94c25.html](https://www.thedesertreview.com/opinion/columnists/doctors-story-of-light-and-life-the-covid-19-darkness-overcome-part-i/article_5ae16f0c-f614-11eb-8351-cf0d67e94c25.html)

They dedicated their book to "the battle for truth, our patients, and the world against dishonesty, incompetence, conflicts of interest, and inhumanity." Everyone should read it.

Their story, highlighted as "The Miracle of the Imperial Valley," is a snippet, and one should read this background.

[https://www.thedesertreview.com/news/the-miracle-of-the-imperial-valley-dr-tyson-s-first-person-account-of-covid-19/article\\_a8707136-196b-11eb-bc7b-87d7730460bb.html](https://www.thedesertreview.com/news/the-miracle-of-the-imperial-valley-dr-tyson-s-first-person-account-of-covid-19/article_a8707136-196b-11eb-bc7b-87d7730460bb.html)

Dr. George Fareed, Dr. Peter McCullough, and the International Journal of Cancer editor, Dr. Harvey Risch, warned of the coming surge and the dangers of not treating early. They testified before the US Senate on November 19, 2020, well in time to implement a National early outpatient treatment strategy that would prevent these deaths. But, unfortunately, no one paid them any attention, and the surge killed many hundreds of thousands.

<https://www.amazon.com/Ivermectin-World-Justus-R-Hope/dp/1737415909>

So now it is not about Aquarium cleaner; it is about "a rise in poison calls in Mississippi as people try livestock dewormer."

On August 21, the U.S. FDA stooped to the level of tweeting this message with a photograph of a horse: "You are not a horse. You are not a cow. Seriously, y'all. Stop it."

<https://www.cbs8.com/article/news/health/coronavirus/fda-ivermectin-covid-19-warning/507-4a40fcb6-53c9-4063-9dc2-64a0db109203>

There are many problems with this message:

1. It is unprofessional for a public health authority to issue guidance in the form of a tweet.
2. Slang terms are even more unprofessional.
3. The message is misleading and unclear and amounts to one giant gaslight.

It does not mean that a person should not take a validly prescribed physician's prescription for the drug. It is meant to scare and confuse people, to distort their reality. It is gaslighting at its worst.

The truth is that Ivermectin is safer than Vitamin C and most over-the-counter pain relievers like Tylenol or aspirin. However, it's primary danger is to the \$100 billion-a-year vaccine industry and the royalties to the various players.

In discussing the vaccines, a patent for an mRNA-based vaccine was previously denied to Dr. Fauci because the patent examiner stated it was not a vaccine. After all, it did not stop infection.

The patent office wrote, "These arguments are persuasive to the extent that an antigenic peptide stimulates an immune response that may produce antibodies that bind to a specific peptide or protein, but it is NOT persuasive in regards to a vaccine. The immune response produced by a vaccine must be more than merely some immune response but must also be protective."

As noted in the previous office action, the art recognizes the term vaccine to be a compound that PREVENTS INFECTION.

"The applicant has not demonstrated that the instantly claimed vaccine meets even the lower standards set forth in the specification, let alone the standard art definition for being operative in regards."

See *mark 47.40* <https://www.bitchute.com/video/9HxsE5llViby/>

It bears repeating the gaslight quote of Dr. Carlos del Rio, "When the vaccines were first developed, nobody was thinking that they were going to prevent infection."

That's right, Dr. del Rio, no one thinks vaccines prevent infections EXCEPT the public, the patent office, all doctors, and the entire scientific community.

Perhaps it might help readers to understand exactly from where Dr. Carlos del Rio is coming. He served as a principal investigator on the Moderna COVID-19 vaccine clinical trial. So the Bayh-Dole

Act might just qualify him to receive a share of the vaccine royalties.

<https://www.cnn.com/2020/07/02/health/coronavirus-vaccine-moderna-pfizer-inovio-astrazeneca-roundup/index.html>

It sort of makes a person feel just like one of those Interleukin-2 trial patients of Dr. Fauci in 2005 who were not informed of the doctor's proprietary interests.

Speaking of preventing infection, the United Kingdom and Israel are some of the most vaccinated countries on the planet, and they have experienced massive surges with Delta variant COVID-19 infection.

Almost 78% of eligible Israelis have been fully vaccinated, and some 90% of residents over 12 are vaccinated.

<https://www.haaretz.com/israel-news/israel-vaccine-data-how-many-have-already-been-inoculated-for-covid-1.9626604>

We are repeatedly told that ours is an "Epidemic of the Unvaccinated."

"If only every American got the shot, we would reach herd immunity, and that would end the pandemic."

"Very few patients in the US are hospitalized with COVID. That only happens to the unvaccinated."

All lies. All total nonsense. All more gaslighting.

The truth is that even highly vaccinated Israel finds 60% of its hospitalized COVID cases to be in the vaccinated.

<https://www.beckershospitalreview.com/public-health/nearly-60-of-hospitalized-covid-19-patients-in-israel-fully-vaccinated-study-finds.html>

In the August 19, 2021 article published in Becker's Hospital Review, the author writes,

"Of 514 patients in Israel hospitalized with COVID-19 as of August 15, 59 percent were fully vaccinated, according to an August 16 article from Science that cited national data tracked by

Israel's largest health management organization. The figures suggest breakthrough infections may be more common than the term implies, the report suggests."

However, what is true is what the patent office wrote to Dr. Fauci about the mRNA vaccines not preventing infection and not genuinely meeting the definition of a vaccine.

So what is the best way to protect yourself from sociopathic gaslighting?

Remember what the experts advise. Dr. Martha Stout wrote a recent book about dealing with gaslighting, *Outsmarting the Sociopath Next Door*.

<https://www.amazon.com/Conquering-Sociopath-Next-Door-Conscienceless/dp/0307589072>

Look at what a person does rather than what they say. My patients love Dr. Fauci, probably every bit as much as people loved Bernie Madoff. However, some astute investors withdrew their money after they studied their monthly financial reports. The ones who lost did not check and instead trusted the man based upon his charm.

Do your homework. Read about repurposed drugs and why Big Pharma does not want you to use them. For example, when my friend of 30 years developed Glioblastoma, a deadly form of brain cancer, he was given one year to live.

So I decided to question the status quo - this is ALWAYS a good thing - I highly advise it. So I researched PubMed and, within the first week, discovered that a drug usually used to treat worms, a drug called Mebendazole, might save his life.

<https://www.amazon.com/Surviving-Cancer-COVID-19-Disease-Repurposed/dp/0998055425>

Dr. Gregory Riggins was doing cancer research at Johns Hopkins Medical Center and had implanted Medulloblastomas (a form of brain cancer) into his mice's brains. Unfortunately, before he could begin the experiment, they came down with a bad case of pinworms. So Dr. Riggins treated his mice with a form of the drug Mebendazole (MBZ). It stopped the pinworms, but surprisingly it also stopped the brain cancers from growing.

[https://www.hopkinsmedicine.org/news/publications/doorways\\_to\\_discovery/doorways\\_to\\_discovery\\_2015/surprise\\_finding\\_yields\\_a\\_possible\\_tumor\\_fighting\\_drug](https://www.hopkinsmedicine.org/news/publications/doorways_to_discovery/doorways_to_discovery_2015/surprise_finding_yields_a_possible_tumor_fighting_drug)

My friend's Glioblastoma continues to shrink now some 20 months later, and he continues to defy the odds; and I continue to thank God every day that I told him about the drug and the Care Oncology Clinic.

And now you know why I feel so passionately that what Dr. Fauci, the media, and Big Pharma are doing to you, the public, is wrong. Now you know precisely why the FDA tweet from August 21, 2021, is so inappropriate.

"You are not a horse. You are not a cow. Seriously, y'all. Stop it."

If you listen to that FDA tweet, you might think pinworm medication used to treat both mice and humans could not save your life from cancer. But, you would be incorrect because, without MBZ, my friend might not be alive today. In addition, MBZ is being tested and used for many other cancers thanks to Dr. Riggins' discovery.

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6769799/>

<https://careoncology.com/the-coc-protocol-in-pancreatic-cancer/>

But Big Pharma will NOT tell you about MBZ because they prefer to make money the old-fashioned way - the way of Big Tobacco. They like to gaslight you with Joe Camel, horse photos, and the media. They even stoop to using fake data and fraudulent studies in prestigious medical journals.

<https://www.medscape.com/viewarticle/9536531>

The public should be aware it is "buyer beware" whether listening to ads placed by Big Tobacco, Big Pharma, or Big Regulators, which now include tweets made by the FDA. You are well-advised to do your own research – with the input of your trusted personal physician – when it comes to saving your life from COVID or cancer.

I take no pleasure in my indictment of the FDA as an inherently corrupt and unreliable organization captured long ago by Big Pharma, evidenced by their recent approval of the Alzheimer drug, Aduhelm, against the votes of ten of the eleven FDA advisory committee members.

The drug has significant toxicity, questionable benefits and carries a price tag of \$56,000 per year. Dr. Aaron Kesselheim, a professor at Harvard, had some scruples and resigned from the panel over this, saying it was "probably the worst drug approval in recent US history." I would agree with the

caveat the Pfizer vaccine approval is probably even worse.

<https://pharmanewsintel.com/news/3-fda-committee-members-resign-over-alzheimers-drug-approval>

Now with COVID-19, the world is watching as Ivermectin, another deworming medicine common to both animals and humans, actually PREVENTS COVID infections as well as prevents DEATH. And it is effective against ALL the variants. The widespread global use of Ivermectin would end the Pandemic within four or five weeks, but it would cost Big Pharma and Dr. Fauci a fortune. The entire world could enjoy the success of Uttar Pradesh while we would reform the FDA, NIH, and WHO.

We could all free ourselves from this constant drumbeat of fear that seeks to drive us into totalitarian-like submission. We could rid ourselves of the Pandemic gaslight, once and for all.

In the dedication of my book on cancer, I wrote the words of a filmmaker who lost his loved one to brain cancer:

<https://www.amazon.com/Surviving-Cancer-COVID-19-Disease-Repurposed/dp/0998055425>

"If you make enough people angry, the system will change." Are we angry enough to demand change? Is it time to end the Pandemic, the nonsense, and the gaslighting? If we end the Pandemic now, the virus will become extinct and not hurt anyone else. It will end as SARS did in 2004.

On the other hand, if we keep fiddling with vaccines and ignore Ivermectin, we will be faced with ever greater and more deadly and resistant variants and a SARS-CoV-2 problem that remains with you, your children, and grandchildren.

Dr. David Martin sent a 205-page dossier to every member of the US Senate in August of 2020.

<https://f.hubspotusercontent10.net/hubfs/8079569/The%20FauciCOVID-19%20Dossier.pdf>

No Senator initially responded. However, after Dr. Fauci denied any gain of function funding, a Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request was made for his emails. The Washington Post and BuzzFeed News used FOIA to obtain emails from the White House's chief medical adviser, and these emails contradicted the many gaslights we have received from Dr. Fauci.

<https://law.yale.edu/no-those-fauci-emails-werent-leaked-yes-they-were-obtained-using-foia>

However, they precisely fit the evidence that Dr. Martin and others have uncovered, showing a coordinated plan to create, market, and orchestrate a Pandemic for Profit and cover it all up with a rehearsed and false narrative.

<https://youtu.be/MQDnXsIRsu4>

At the center of it all remains mastermind Peter Daszak, the man seen celebrating in the photo with COVID-19 expert Zhengli-Li Shi, the Chinese batwoman. A House Foreign Affairs Committee report points the finger at the Wuhan lab as the origin of COVID-19, and it calls for Peter Daszak's subpoena. Moreover, it names him the "public face" of a disinformation campaign designed to suppress discussion about a potential lab leak.

<https://www.yahoo.com/now/peter-daszak-subpoenaed-wuhan-lab-183600491.html>

Indeed, the Lancet appointed him the Chief Inspector of the team to investigate the origins of the coronavirus. So we already know what Peter Daszak's investigation will find. He already thanked Dr. Fauci for claiming a natural source for this patented chimeric virus.

However, the truth is not a mystery.

It does not matter how you define gain of function. It does not matter that the money from NIAID went to Eco-Health before it was passed on to the Wuhan Institute of Virology. The answer is much more straightforward. Look no further than the U.S. patent office to learn the true origins of the pandemic.